

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK-----X  
AEROTEL, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

IDT CORP.,

Defendant.  
-----X

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: <u>7/17/08</u>
---

**ORDER OF REFERENCE  
TO A MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

08 Civ. 4478 (RJH)(FM)

The above entitled action is referred to the designated Magistrate Judge for the following purpose(s):


☐ General Pretrial (includes scheduling, discovery,  
non-dispositive pretrial motions, and settlement)☐ Consent under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) for all  
purposes (including trial)☐ Specific Non-Dispositive Motion/Dispute:\*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_☐ Consent under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) for limited  
purpose (e.g., dispositive motion, preliminary injunction)

Purpose: \_\_\_\_\_

If referral is for discovery disputes when the District Judge  
is unavailable, the time period of the  
referral: \_\_\_\_\_☐ Habeas Corpus☐ Social Security☒ Settlement\*☐ Dispositive Motion (i.e., motion requiring a  
Report and Recommendation)☐ Inquest After Default/Damages HearingParticular Motion: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

All such motions: \_\_\_\_\_

\* Do not check if already referred for general pretrial.

**SO ORDERED.**DATED: New York, New York  
July 15, 2008Richard J. Holwell  
United States District Judge